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Abstract. The elliptic Radon transform (eRT) integrates functions over ellipses in 2D and ellipsoids of revolution in 3D. It thus serves as a model for linearized seismic imaging under the common offset scanning geometry where sources and receivers are offset by a constant vector. As an inversion formula of eRT is unknown we propose certain imaging operators (generalized backprojection operators) which allow to reconstruct some singularities of the searched-for reflectivity function from seismic measurements. We calculate and analyze the principal symbols of these imaging operators as pseudo-differential operators to understand how they map, emphasize or de-emphasize singularities. We use this information to develop local reconstruction operators that reconstruct relatively independently of depth and offset. Numerical examples illustrate the theoretical findings.

1. Introduction

In seismic imaging one penetrates the earth’s subsurface with pressure waves which are generated on the surface. The geological inner structure scatters the waves and those parts returning to the surface are picked up by receivers. The corresponding inverse problem entails imaging the subsurface from these scattered waves. For a first quick reconstruction in the acoustic regime linearized models are used, for instance, classical Kirchhoff migration.

Traditional Kirchhoff migration may mathematically be described by

\[ f_{\text{recon}} = F^# Pf \]

where \( g = Ff \) are the data (measurements).

The operator \( F \) above is a generalized Radon transform which integrates over isochrones, \( P \) is a one-dimensional convolution operator and \( F^# \) is a kind of dual transform (generalized backprojection). Beylkin [1] showed for a specific \( F^# \) that

\[ f_{\text{recon}} = F^# Pf = I_{\text{partial}} f + \Psi f \]

where \( I_{\text{partial}} \) is a kind of band pass filter (operator of partial reconstruction) and \( \Psi \) is smoothing, that is, \( \Psi f \in C^\infty \). Thus, in classical Kirchhoff migration, one reconstructs a filtered version of \( f \) superimposed on a \( C^\infty \)-artifact.

As we cannot hope to recover \( f \) from the data completely we consider imaging operators which differ from the Kirchhoff operator \( F^# Pf \). Our operators are, in
general, of the form

\[ \Lambda = K F^\dagger \psi F \]

where \( \psi \) is a smooth cutoff function, \( F^\dagger \) is a weighted \( L^2 \) dual of \( F \) and \( K \) is a local operator such that \( \Lambda \) acts like a differential operator so as to emphasize singularities. In fact, \( \Lambda \) is a local pseudodifferential operator (ΨDO) of positive order. Note that the classical Kirchhoff operator \( F^\# PF \) is a ΨDO of non-positive order which smooths singularities in general. A further difference of our approach and Kirchhoff migration is our numerical scheme which is adapted to the structure of \( \Lambda \), see [16]. First ideas to emphasize singularities have been published in [2] where a kind of additional differentiation was introduced in Fourier space.

In this article we restrict ourselves to a constant background velocity and to the common offset scanning geometry where source and receiver positions differ by a constant vector. Then, \( F \) becomes the elliptic Radon transform which integrates functions over ellipses in 2D and prolate spheroids in 3D with source and receiver positions as foci. We will argue that \( \Lambda \) is a ΨDO and we will compute its principal symbol.

We analyze the symbol for the 2D setting microlocally and use this information to design local operators \( K \) leading to imaging operators \( \Lambda \) with favorable properties. For instance, let \( F^\dagger = F^* \) (formal \( L^2 \)-adjoint without weight) and

\[ K = \Delta M + \alpha \text{Id} \]

where \( 2\alpha \geq 0 \) is the distance between source and receiver, \( \Delta \) is the Laplacian differential operator, and \( M \) denotes the multiplication operator by the depth-coordinate.\(^3\) Then, \( \Lambda \) yields an imaging operator of order 1 with the following meaningful property: Jumps in \( f \) having the same height but being located at different depths will be visible in \( \Lambda f \) with the same intensities almost independent of \( \alpha \).\(^4\)

The mapping properties of \( \Lambda \) for several choices of \( K \) will be illustrated by numerical reconstructions using our migration scheme developed in [16]. The present paper is a follow-up of [16] where we used \( K = \Delta \). Indeed, this research was initiated by our wish to understand certain features in the reconstructions we obtained, and we address these now.

Our main tool in this paper is microlocal analysis which has been used before very successfully to analyze operators not only in seismics but also in other imaging techniques. First to mention here is the paper [10] where Fèlea et al. compare \( F^* \psi F \) under the common midpoint and the common offset acquisition geometries in 3D. While in the former geometry the imaging operator is a singular Fourier integral operator (FIO), in the latter geometry this operator becomes a ΨDO. To this end the authors verify the Bolker condition for the common offset geometry and this is the result we will rely on. Further, we heavily benefit from [28] where Quinto showed how to express the symbol of generalized Radon transforms in terms of defining measures.

Microlocal properties of \( F \) and \( F^* \psi F \) in various geometric settings have been studied by many authors, see, e.g. [9, 12, 13, 17, 22, 26, 29, 30, 31]. This list is surely not complete. We should point out that work on the Dirichlet to Neumann map, such as

\(^3\)To compute \( \Lambda f(x) \) only data \( Ff \) are needed over isochrones that are near to \( x \).

\(^2\)The 3D case is much more involved and will be published elsewhere.

\(^3\)The notion 'depth' refers to the distance from each given point in the earth's interior to the surface.

\(^4\)For this short explanation of the mapping properties of \( \Lambda \), we neglect the influence of the cutoff \( \psi \) and of the microlocal ellipticity of \( \Lambda \). These points will be discussed later in the article.
[33], provides insight into the seismic problem by giving local and microlocal information about density of the earth from local measurements with arbitrary sources and receivers. Because this requires independent sources and receivers, it does not exactly correspond to our problem. Recovery of microlocal information from seismic data is described in articles such as [25, 34, 36, 35, 39, 40] and, for reverse time migration, in [4]. A Radon transform perspective using curvelets is provided in [8]. Finally, we like to refer to the lecture notes [37, Section 8] where Symes derived a rather implicit expression for the principal symbol of $F^*F$ by formal arguments.

We have organized our material as follows. For a largely self-contained presentation we derive $F$ in the next section from the acoustic wave equation in 3D by the Born ansatz. Here we basically follow [37] and [5]. Then, in Section 3, we calculate the principal symbol of $\Lambda$ in 2D and 3D. All technical details of the corresponding proofs, however, are moved to the final Section 5. In Section 4 we discuss the consequences from the symbol calculation for a concrete imaging situation in 2D. Our choice (1.2) for the operator $K$ will become evident and its influence on the reconstructed images will be highlighted by numerical examples.

2. The forward operators of linear seismic imaging

Let $u(t; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_s)$ be the acoustic pressure in $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ at time $t \geq 0$ satisfying the acoustic wave equation with constant mass density and sound speed $\nu = \nu(\mathbf{x})$:

$$\frac{1}{\nu^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} u - \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} u = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_s) \delta(t)$$

where $\mathbf{x}_s$ denotes the source points. Further, before firing the energy source, we can reliably assume the environment to be at rest:

$$u(0; \cdot, \mathbf{x}_s) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(0; \cdot, \mathbf{x}_s) = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

We want to recover $\nu$ from measurements $u(t; \mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{x}_s)$ where $\mathbf{x}_r$ denotes the receiver positions and $t$ ranges over an observation period.

We assume that

$$\frac{1}{\nu^2(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{1 + n(\mathbf{x})}{c^2(\mathbf{x})} \tag{2.3}$$

with a smooth and a priori known background velocity $c = c(\mathbf{x})$. The dimensionless quantity $n$ is the object we seek. It captures the high frequency variations of $\nu$, see, e.g., [3, Chap. 3.2.1]. We derive a linear integral equation for $n$. Based on [5, Appendix A] and [37, Sec. 6], most of the following material has already been presented in [16] for the two dimensional setting, however.

Let $\tilde{u}$ denote the solution of the above wave equation with sound speed $c$, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \tilde{u} - \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \tilde{u} = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_s) \delta(t)$$

where $u$ and $\tilde{u}$ share the same initial data (2.2). We will use $\tilde{u}$ to derive a linear equation for $n$.

Subtracting (2.1) from (2.4) and given (2.3) we find the equation

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} (\tilde{u} - u) - \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} (\tilde{u} - u) = \frac{n}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} u. \tag{2.5}$$

Replacing $u$ by $\tilde{u}$ on the right of the above equation we perform the Born approximation which is valid if $n(\mathbf{x})/c^2(\mathbf{x})$ is small in an adequate sense, see, e.g., [6, Sec. 8.4]. Thus, we define the linear map

$$L: n \mapsto u_{d1}|_Y$$
where $Y$ is the set of receivers and $u_d$ solves
\begin{equation}
(2.5) \quad \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 u_d - \Delta_x u_d = \frac{n}{c^2} \partial_t^2 \tilde{u}
\end{equation}
with zero initial data (2.2). Now the linearized inverse problem in seismic imaging reads: Determine $n$ from
\[ L_n = \tilde{u}|_Y - u|_Y \]
where $u|_Y$ has been recorded and $\tilde{u}|_Y$ has to be computed from (2.4).

A straightforward calculation shows that
\begin{equation}
(2.6) \quad Ln(t; \cdot, x_s) = \int \frac{n(x)}{c^2(x)} \left( \int_0^t \partial_s^2 \tilde{u}(s; x, x_s) \tilde{u}(t - s; \cdot, x) ds \right) dx
\end{equation}
solves (2.5) formally with homogeneous initial values. To proceed we rely on the single ray assumption (geometric optics approximation) that is, $x \in \text{supp} n$ can be connected to each $x_s$ and to each $x_s$ by exactly one ray of geometric optics. Under this assumption no multiple scatterings take place. Accordingly, $\tilde{u}$ is a progressing wave in 3D (from here our presentation differs from [16] as a progressing wave in 2D is differently represented):
\begin{equation}
(2.7) \quad \tilde{u}(t; x, x_s) \approx a(x, x_s) \delta(t - \tau(x, x_s))
\end{equation}
in which the travel time $\tau(\cdot, x_s)$ solves the eikonal equation
\[ |\nabla_x \tau(\cdot, x_s)| = \frac{1}{c}, \quad \tau(x_s, x_s) = 0, \]
and the amplitude $a$ satisfies
\[ \text{div}(a^2 \nabla_x \tau) = 0 \]
augmented by a scaling condition, see, e.g., [3, Sec. 5.1.2] and Symes [37, pp. 24-25]. See also Friedlander [11] and Courant and Hilbert [7].

Plugging (2.7) into (2.6),
\[ Ln(t; x_r, x_s) \approx \int \frac{n(x)}{c^2(x)} a(x, x_s)a(x_r, x) \left( \int_0^t \delta''(s - \tau(x, x_s)) \delta(t - s - \tau(x_r, x)) ds \right) dx \]
\[ = \int \frac{n(x)}{c^2(x)} a(x, x_s)a(x_r, x) \delta''(t - \tau(x, x_s) - \tau(x_r, x)) dx \]
\[ = \partial_t^2 \int \frac{n(x)}{c^2(x)} a(x, x_s)a(x_r, x) \delta(t - \tau(x, x_s) - \tau(x_r, x)) dx =: \tilde{L}_n(t; x_r, x_s) \]
where the first equality holds provided
\[ \nabla_x \tau(x, x_r) + \nabla_x \tau(x, x_s) \neq 0 \]
which means that no forward scattering occurs [38]. Set $u_{\text{data}} := \tilde{u} - u$. Our intermediate linear problem now reads
\[ \tilde{L}_n(t; x_r, x_s) = u_{\text{data}}(t; x_r, x_s) \]
and integrating both sides twice with respect to $t$ over the observation period from 0 to $T$ we finally obtain
\begin{equation}
(2.8) \quad Fn(T; x_r, x_s) = y(T; x_r, x_s)
\end{equation}
where
\[ y(T; x_r, x_s) := \int_0^T (T - t) u_{\text{data}}(t; x_r, x_s) dt \]
(2.9) \[ F_n(T; x_r, x_s) = \int \frac{n(x)}{c^2(x)} a(x, x_s) a(x_r, x) \delta(T - \tau(x, x_s) - \tau(x, x_r)) \, dx \]

is a generalized Radon transform which integrates over reflection isochrones \( \{ x : T = \tau(x, x_s) + \tau(x, x_r) \} \). The forward operator in 2D looks exactly the same, however, the right hand sides \( y \) of (2.8) differ for 2D and 3D, see [16].

From now on we consider both spatial dimensions. Thus, let \( d \in \{2, 3\} \). We further proceed under the following assumptions

- the background velocity \( c \) is constant, say, \( c = 1 \),
- \( n \in L^2(X) \) is compactly supported in \( X = \mathbb{R}^d_+ \) which is the lower half space, that is, \( x_d > 0 \) (the positive direction of the \( x_d \)-axis points downwards towards the interior of the earth),
- as raw seismic data can be synthesized to provide common offset data [32, p. 59], we position sources and receivers according to the common offset data acquisition geometry on the hyperplane \( x_d = 0 \). Let \( \alpha \geq 0 \) be the common offset. Then, sources and receivers are parameterized by \( s \in \mathbb{R} \ (d = 2) \) and \( s \in \mathbb{R}^2 \ (d = 3) \) via

\[
\begin{align*}
x_s(s) &= (s - \alpha, 0)^\top, \quad x_r(s) = (s + \alpha, 0)^\top, \\
x_s(s) &= (s_1, s_2 - \alpha, 0)^\top, \quad x_r(s) = (s_1, s_2 + \alpha, 0)^\top,
\end{align*}
\]

respectively.

Under these assumptions the reflection isochrones are ellipses or prolate spheroids (ellipsoids of revolution) with foci \( x_s \) and \( x_r \). Further,

\[
\tau(x, y) = |x - y| \quad \text{and} \quad a(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x - y|}} & : d = 2, \\ \frac{1}{|x - y|} & : d = 3, \end{cases}
\]

which can easily be checked via the defining equations (the correct scaling of \( a \) can be neglected for our purpose as any multiple of \( \Lambda (1.1) \) has the same microlocal properties). Thus, the 2D generalized Radon transform (2.9) integrates over ellipses and may be written as

(2.10) \[ F_{2n}(s, t) = \int A_2(s, x) n(x) \delta(t - \varphi(s, x)) \, dx, \quad t > 2\alpha, \]

with

\[
\varphi(s, x) := |x_s(s) - x| + |x_r(s) - x| \quad \text{and} \quad A_2(s, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x_s(s) - x| |x_r(s) - x|}}.
\]

The 3D generalized Radon transform (2.9) integrates over spheroids and becomes

(2.11) \[ F_{3n}(s, t) = \int A_3(s, x) n(x) \delta(t - \varphi(s, x)) \, dx, \quad t > 2\alpha, \]

with

\[
\varphi(s, x) := |x_s(s) - x| + |x_r(s) - x| \quad \text{and} \quad A_3(s, x) = \frac{1}{|x_s(s) - x| |x_r(s) - x|}.
\]
The lower bound on \( t \) is needed because the major axis of the ellipse/spheroid must be longer than half the distance between the foci. We define the data space

\[
Y = S_0 \times (2\alpha, \infty)
\]

where \( S_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \) is the bounded open set containing the parameters for the source-receiver pairs used in collecting the seismic data. Note that we are assuming the dimension \( d \in \{2, 3\} \), and \( F_d \) is the forward operator in dimension \( d \).

For later use, we give the FIO representation of \( F_3 \):\(^5\)

\[
F_3 n(s, t) = \int \frac{1}{2\pi} A_3(s, x) n(x) e^{i\phi(s, t, x, \omega)} d\omega, \quad t > 2\alpha,
\]

where \( \phi(s, t, x, \omega) = \omega (t - \varphi(s, x)) \).

3. The Imaging Operators

As in [16], because there is no inversion formula in general, we do not try to reconstruct \( n \) directly from its integrals \( g = F_d n \). Instead we define an imaging operator

\[
K F_d^\dagger \psi F_d
\]

where \( \psi: Y \to [0, \infty) \) is a smooth compactly supported cutoff function and \( K \) is a properly supported pseudodifferential operator on \( X \) of non-negative order \( m \). Further, \( F_d^\dagger \) is the generalized backprojection operator. For instance, for \( u \in \mathcal{D}(Y) \),

\[
F_d^\dagger u(x) = \int_{S_0} \int_{2\alpha}^\infty W(s, x) u(s, t) \delta(t - \varphi(s, x)) dt ds
\]

where \( W \) is a smooth positive weight. The 2D version \( F_d^\dagger \) of the generalized backprojection is given analogously. Then, the composition \( F_d^\dagger \psi F_d \) is defined for distributions of compact support.\(^5\)

Note that the formal \( L^2 \)-adjoint \( F_d^\star \) has weight \( W = A_d \) and the generalized backprojection used by Beylkin [1] has weight \( W = 1/A_d \).

3.1. Pseudodifferential Operators. Our theoretical results are based on these operators, and we now introduce the building blocks.

**Definition 3.1** (Pseudodifferential Symbol). Let \( X \) be an open subset of \( \mathbb{R}^d \). A symbol of order \( m \) is a function \( p = p(x, \xi) \in C^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R}^d) \) satisfying: For every compact set \( K \subset X \) and for each set of two multi-indices \( \alpha, \beta \) there exists a constant \( C = C(K, \alpha, \beta) \) such that, for all \( x \in K \) and all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
|D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta p(x, \xi)| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{m-|\alpha|}.
\]

The set of symbols of order \( m \) above \( X \) is denoted \( S^m(X) \).

The symbol \( p \) is elliptic if for each compact subset \( K \) of \( X \) there are positive constants \( c \) and \( M \) such that

\[
|p(x, \xi)| \geq c(1 + |\xi|)^m
\]

for all \( x \in K \) and all \( \xi \) with \( |\xi| \geq M \).

\(^5\)We emphasize that \( F_d^\dagger F_d \) is not defined in general because \( F_d: \mathcal{D}'(X) \to \mathcal{D}'(Y) \) but \( F_d^\star: \mathcal{E}'(Y) \to \mathcal{D}'(X) \). Therefore, throughout this article, we let \( \psi \) be a smooth cutoff function of compact support in \( Y \) and we consider only operators that include \( \psi \), such as \( F_d^\star \psi F_d \) and \( F_d^\dagger \psi F_d \).
Let \((x_0, \xi_0) \in X \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})\). Then, the symbol \(p\) is microlocally elliptic near \((x_0, \xi_0)\) if there are an open neighborhood \(U\) of \(x_0\), a conic open neighborhood \(V\) of \(\xi_0\), and positive constants \(C\) and \(M\) such that (3.3) holds for all \(x \in U\) and \(\xi \in V\) with \(|\xi| \geq M\).

The set \(S^m(X)\) defined above agrees with the standard Hörmander symbol class (see [22, Definition 1.1.1]).

**Definition 3.2** (Pseudodifferential operator). Let \(X\) be an open subset of \(\mathbb{R}^d\) and \(m \in \mathbb{R}\). Then, the linear operator \(P : D(X) \to \mathcal{E}(X)\) is a pseudodifferential operator of order \(m\) if there is a pseudodifferential symbol \(p\) of order \(m\) such that for all \(f \in D(X)\),

\[
Pf(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_X e^{i(y-x) \xi} p(x, \xi) f(x) \, dx \, d\xi.
\]

The function \(p\) is called the full symbol of the operator \(P\). The principal symbol \(\sigma(P)\) of \(P\) is the equivalence class of \(p\) in the quotient space \(S^m(X)/S^{m-1}(X)\).

The operator \(P\) is elliptic (respectively: microlocally elliptic).

Please note that the integral defining \(P\) in the above definition exists as an oscillatory integral which represents a distribution in general, see [22, Chap. I]. Let \(P\) be a \(\Psi\)DO of order \(m\). When we write \(\sigma(P)\) as a function, we understand this as the equivalence class of the function modulo \(S^{m-1}(X)\). We will introduce some more technical terminology in Section 5.

### 3.2. Main Theorems

Our first theorem explains our choice (3.1) of the imaging operator. It follows from arguments in [23] and [10].

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \(F_d, F_3^d, K, \) and \(\psi\) be defined as above. Then,

\[KF_3^d\psi F_d \text{ and } KF_d^3\psi F_d\]

are \(\Psi\)DOs of order \(m + 1 - d\).

**Proof.** We consider \(KF_3^d\psi F_d\) and note that the other operator is just a special case.

First, let \(d = 3\). In [10], it was shown that \(F_3\) is an FIO. Let \(C\) be the canonical relation of \(F_3\). Then, \(F_3^d\) is an FIO with canonical relation \(C^d\) [22], and \(F_3^d\) is essentially the same operator, but with a different weight, so it has the same canonical relation. The operator \(F_3\) has symbol \(A_3/(2\pi)\) which is homogeneous of order zero in the phase variable, \(\omega\), by (2.12). The dimension of the ambient spaces is three and the dimension of phase space is 1. Therefore, the order of \(F_3\) is \(-3 - 1)/2 = -1\) [41, p. 462 below (6.3)]. Since the symbol of \(F_3^d\) is homogeneous of order zero in the phase variable, the same calculation as for \(F_3\) shows \(F_3^d\) is an FIO of order \(-1\) with canonical relation \(C^d\). Multiplication by \(\psi\) does not affect the order of an FIO, so \(F_3^d\psi F_3\) has canonical relation \(C^d \circ C\) and order \(-2\). However, the microlocal Bolker condition (see (5.18) and e.g., [19, p. 371]) is satisfied by \(F_3\) and \(C\) [10], so \(C^d \circ C\) is a subset of the diagonal. Therefore, \(F_3^d\psi F_3\) is a \(\Psi\)DO. Because \(K\) is a \(\Psi\)DO of order \(m\), and the composition of \(\Psi\)DOs is a \(\Psi\)DO, \(KF_3^d\psi F_3\) is a \(\Psi\)DO of order \(m - 2\).

Similar reasoning holds in case \(d = 2\). In this case, the needed Bolker condition follows from [23, Theorem 4]. Since the symbol of \(F_2\) is homogenous of degree zero, \(F_2\) has order \(-2 - 1)/2 = -1/2\). Since \(F_2\) satisfies the microlocl Bolker condition, \(F_2^d\psi F_2\) is a \(\Psi\)DO of order \(-1\). Since \(K\) is a \(\Psi\)DO of order \(m\), \(KF_2^d\psi F_2\) has order \(m - 1\). 

\(\square\)
The above theorem states that the operators
\[
KF_d^1 \psi g \quad \text{and} \quad KF_d^* \psi g
\]
are \(\Psi\)DOs, and therefore some singularities of \(n\) can be visible in the reconstructions, and the operator does not add non-smooth artifacts\(^6\) to the reconstruction because of the smooth cutoff \(\psi\) (see e.g., [12] for an analysis when cutoffs are not smooth). If \(m > d - 1\) the reconstruction operators have positive order and, thus, the singularities are even emphasized.

Beylkin [1] established \(F_3^1\) with weight \(W = 1/A_3\) as imaging operator and showed that there is a convolution operator \(P\) such that
\[
F_3^1 PF_3 = I_{\text{partial}} + \Psi
\]
where the partial identity \(I_{\text{partial}}\) is a kind of band pass filter and \(\Psi\) is of lower order.

Our imaging operators are more general and we compute their symbols below in order to understand how they map singularities, in particular, how they might emphasize or de-emphasize singularities.

The next lemma gives important technical information for the three-dimensional case.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \(x \in X\) and \(\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}\) with \(\xi_3 \neq 0\). Then, the equation
\[
(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = \omega \nabla_x \varphi(s, x)
\]
uniquely determines \(\omega \neq 0\) and \(s = (s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2\) as functions of \((x, \xi)\). They are given by (3.4), (3.5), and (3.9) respectively.

We write \(s = s(x, \xi)\) and \(\omega = \omega(x, \xi)\) for \(x \in X\) and \(\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}\) with \(\xi_3 \neq 0\).

This lemma is proved in Section 5.1.

Our next theorems provide the symbols of our imaging operators and will allow us to analyze which singularities are visible in the reconstruction and to choose an effective operator \(K\). The proofs, which use the theory of Fourier integral operators, are in Section 5.

**Theorem 3.5 (3D-Symbol for Common Offset).** Let \(K\) be a properly supported \(\Psi\)DO with symbol \(k(x, \xi)\) and let \(F_3^1\) have smooth weight \(W\). The principal symbol of \(KF_3^1 \psi F_3\) as a pseudodifferential operator is
\[
\sigma(KF_3^1 \psi F_3)(x, \xi) = \frac{(2\pi)^2 k(x, \xi) \psi(s, \varphi(s, x)) W(s, x) A_3(s, x)}{|\omega|^2 B_3(s, x)}
\]
where \(B_3\) is the Beylkin determinant [1] given by
\[
B_3(s, x) = \left| \det \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial s_1} \nabla_x \varphi(s, x) \right) \right|.
\]
This symbol is evaluated at \((x, \xi)\) and \(s = s(x, \xi)\) and \(\omega = \omega(x, \xi)\) satisfy (3.4) in Lemma 3.4.

The principal symbol of \(KF_3^1 \psi F_3\) as a pseudodifferential operator is
\[
\sigma(KF_3^1 \psi F_3)(x, \xi) = \frac{(2\pi)^2 k(x, \xi) \psi(s, \varphi(s, x)) A_3^2(s, x)}{|\omega|^2 B_3(s, x)}
\]
where \(s = s(x, \xi)\) and \(\omega = \omega(x, \xi)\).
This theorem is proved in Section 5.2. The proof is valid for any Radon transform defined by a function \( \varphi \) (or any FIO associated to the canonical relation \( C \) of such an operator), as long as \( C \) satisfies the Bolker condition (see (5.18) and [19, p. 371]), and a proof will be given elsewhere. Observe that \( B_3 \) does not vanish whenever the Bolker condition holds.

Our next lemma is the two-dimensional version of Lemma 3.4.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let \( x \in X \) and \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \) with \( \xi_2 \neq 0 \). Then, the equation

(3.8) \((\xi_1, \xi_2) = \omega \nabla_x \varphi(s, x)\)

uniquely determines \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \omega \neq 0 \) as functions of \((x, \xi)\). They are given by (5.11) and (5.10) respectively.

We write \( s = s(x, \xi) \) and \( \omega = \omega(x, \xi) \) for \( x \in X \) and \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \) with \( \xi_2 \neq 0 \).

The proof of this lemma is analogous to the proof of Lemma 3.4. See Figure 1 for a geometric picture of the solution of equation (3.8).

**Theorem 3.7** (2D-Symbol for Common Offset). Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.5, the 2D-symbols are

(3.9) \( \sigma(K F_2^* \psi F_2)(x, \xi) = \frac{2 \pi k(x, \xi) \psi(s, \varphi(s, x)) W(s, x) A_2(s, x)}{|\omega| B_2(s, x)} \)

and

(3.10) \( \sigma(K F_2^* \psi F_2)(x, \xi) = \frac{2 \pi k(x, \xi) \psi(s, \varphi(s, x)) A_2(s, x)}{|\omega| B_2(s, x)} \)

where

(3.11) \( B_2(s, x) = \left| \det \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \nabla_x \varphi(s, x) \right) \right| \)

with \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \omega \neq 0 \) uniquely defined by \((\xi_1, \xi_2) = \omega \nabla_x \varphi(s, x)\).

In Section 4.1, we will derive a simpler expression for (3.10).

4. Imaging in 2D

Here we demonstrate how we benefit from the symbol calculation for a concrete imaging situation. For the ease of presentation we restrict ourselves to the two-dimensional setting. The calculations as well as consequences for the three-dimensional situation will be published elsewhere.
4.1. The symbol in 2D. We first consider the operator \( \Lambda = \Delta_x F_2^* \psi F_2 \), where \( \Delta_x \) is the Laplacian and express its principal symbol \( \sigma(\Lambda) \) in terms of \( x \in X \) and \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \). We may assume \( \xi_2 \neq 0 \) because of the cutoff \( \psi \) the symbol \( \sigma(\Lambda) \) is zero near horizontal cotangent vectors \( \xi \) and thus \( \Lambda \) smooths those directions. The final expression for the symbol is given in Proposition 4.1. Then, we use this to analyze ellipticity of this operator and come up with an improved operator in Section 4.2.

We recall the symbol for a general operator \( K F_2^* \psi F_2 \). According to (3.10) (with \( k = 1 \)) we have that

\[
\sigma(F_2^* \psi F_2)(x, \xi) = -2\pi |\xi| \psi(s, \varphi(s, x)) A_2^2(s, x) / |\omega| B_2(s, x).
\]

With the notation

\[
(4.1) \quad \ell := x_1 - s, \quad D := \sqrt{(\ell - \alpha)^2 + x_2^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad E := \sqrt{(\ell + \alpha)^2 + x_2^2}
\]

we get

\[
\nabla_x \varphi(s, x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\ell - \alpha}{D} + \frac{\ell + \alpha}{E} \\ x_2 \left( \frac{1}{D} + \frac{1}{E} \right) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_s \nabla_x \varphi(s, x) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{x_2^2}{D^3} + \frac{x_2^2}{E^3} \\ x_2 \left( \frac{\ell - \alpha}{D^3} + \frac{\ell + \alpha}{E^3} \right) \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Recall that \( x_2 > 0 \). Now,

\[
|\omega| B_2(s, x) = | \det (\omega \nabla_x \varphi(s, x), \partial_s \nabla_x \varphi(s, x)) | \overset{(3.8)}{=} | \det (\xi, \partial_s \nabla_x \varphi(s, x)) | = x_2 |\xi_2| \left| q \left( \frac{\ell - \alpha}{D^3} + \frac{\ell + \alpha}{E^3} \right) + x_2 \left( \frac{1}{D^3} + \frac{1}{E^3} \right) \right|
\]

where

\[
(4.2) \quad q := \xi_1/\xi_2.
\]

Further,

\[
\frac{A_2^2(s, x)}{|\omega| B_2(s, x)} = \frac{1}{ED} \frac{1}{x_2 |\xi_2| \left| q \left( \frac{\ell - \alpha}{D^3} + \frac{\ell + \alpha}{E^3} \right) + x_2 \left( \frac{1}{D^3} + \frac{1}{E^3} \right) \right|} = \frac{1}{x_2 |\xi_2| \left| q \left( \frac{(\ell - \alpha)E}{D^3} + \frac{(\ell + \alpha)D}{E^3} \right) + x_2 \left( \frac{E}{D^3} + \frac{D}{E^3} \right) \right|}.
\]

In view of (5.11) and using the abbreviation

\[
Q(q, \lambda) := \frac{1}{2q} \left( q^2 - 1 + \sqrt{(q^2 + 1)^2 + 4\lambda^2 q^2} \right)
\]

we may write \( \ell, D, \) and \( E \) as functions of \( x \) and \( \xi \):

\[
(4.3) \quad \ell = x_2 Q(q, \frac{\alpha}{x_2}), \quad D = x_2 \sqrt{\left( Q(q, \frac{\alpha}{x_2}) - \frac{\alpha}{x_2} \right)^2 + 1}, \quad E = x_2 \sqrt{\left( \frac{E}{D^3} + \frac{D}{E^3} \right)^2 + 1}.
\]

Proposition 4.1. The principal symbol of \( \Lambda = \Delta_x F_2^* \psi F_2 \) is

\[
(4.3) \quad \sigma(x, \xi) := \sigma(\Lambda)(x, \xi) = -\frac{2\pi |\xi|^2 \psi(x_1 - x_2 Q(q, \frac{\alpha}{x_2}), D + E)}{x_2 |\xi_2| \left| q \left( \frac{(\ell - \alpha)E}{D^3} + \frac{(\ell + \alpha)D}{E^3} \right) + x_2 \left( \frac{E}{D^3} + \frac{D}{E^3} \right) \right|}
\]

where \( \ell, D, \) and \( E \) are given by (4.1) and \( q \) is given by (4.2).
Note that the right hand side of (4.3) is expressed exclusively in terms of \( x \) and \( \xi \) (recall that \( q = \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} \)). We see that \( \sigma = \sigma(\Lambda) \) is positively homogeneous of order 1 in \( \xi \) which reflects the order of \( \Lambda \). Further, the arguments in our proof also show that the symbol of \( KF_1^* \psi F_2 \) is (4.3) with \( |\xi|^2 \) replaced by \( k \), the symbol of \( K \).

Based on (4.3) we describe in Corollary 4.3 below precisely where and how \( \Lambda \) emphasizes singularities. To this end we need to introduce some additional terminology, see e.g. [27] for more details.

**Definition 4.2** (H'-Wavefront Set). i) Let \( r \in \mathbb{R} \). We say that \( u \in \mathcal{D}'(X) \) is (microlocally) \( H^r \) at \( (x_o, \eta_o) \in X \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \) if the following holds: for some neighborhood \( U \) of \( x_o \) and some conic neighborhood \( V \) of \( \eta_o \) we have that

\[
\int_V |\hat{w}(\xi)|^2(1 + |\xi|^2)^r d\xi < \infty
\]

for one \( \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(U) \) with \( \varphi(x_o) \neq 0 \). Here, \( \hat{w} \) denotes the Fourier transform of the tempered distribution \( w \).

ii) The \( H^r-wave \ front \ set \ WF^r(u) \) of \( u \in \mathcal{D}'(X) \) is the complement in \( X \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \) of the set of all points \( (x_o, \eta_o) \in X \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \) where \( u \) is \( H^r \).

Now we use (4.3) to determine where our operator is microlocally elliptic, see Definitions 3.1 and 3.2. This provides a quantitative relation, (4.4), between the strength of the singularities for \( u \) and those of \( \Lambda u \).

**Corollary 4.3.** For \( x \in X \) let

\[
C(x) = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \xi_2 \neq 0, \psi(x_1 - x_2 Q(q, \frac{\xi}{\xi_2}), D + E) > 0 \}.
\]

Consider \( (y, \eta) \in X \times (\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \) with \( \eta \in C(y) \). Then, \( \Lambda \) is microlocally elliptic of order 1 at \( (y, \eta) \). Further, for any \( u \in \mathcal{E}'(X) \),

\[
(y, \eta) \in WF^r(u) \iff (y, \eta) \in WF^{r-1}(\Lambda u).
\]

**Proof.** First, let \( \eta_1 > 0 \). Define \( m := \eta_2/\eta_1 \) and the cone

\[
V_\epsilon = \{(\lambda, m\lambda) : \lambda \geq 0, m \in [m - \epsilon, m + \epsilon]\}
\]

where \( \epsilon \) is chosen small enough so that \( 0 < \epsilon < |m| \). Obviously, \( V_\epsilon \) is a conic neighborhood of \( \eta \). Further, for \( 0 \neq \xi \in V_\epsilon \) we have that

\[
\frac{1}{m + \epsilon} \leq q = \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} \leq \frac{1}{m - \epsilon}.
\]

Let \( B_\rho \) be the closed ball about \( y \) in \( \mathbb{R}^2_+ \) with radius \( \rho > 0 \). By continuity we may decrease \( \epsilon \) and \( \rho \) so that

\[
\min \left\{ \frac{2\pi \psi(x_1 - x_2 Q(q, \frac{\xi}{\xi_2}), D + E)}{x_2 |\ell| (\ell - \alpha) \frac{|\xi|}{\xi_2} + (\ell + \alpha) \frac{|\xi|}{\xi_2} + x_2 \left( \frac{|\xi|}{\xi_2} + \frac{|\rho|}{\rho} \right)} : 0 \neq \xi \in V_\epsilon, x \in B_\rho \right\} =: c_{\epsilon, \rho} > 0.
\]

Hence,

\[
|\sigma(x, \xi)| \geq c_{\epsilon, \rho} \frac{|\xi|}{|\xi_2|} |\xi| \geq c_{\epsilon, \rho} |\xi| \quad \text{for all} \ x \in B_\rho \text{ and } \xi \in V_\epsilon
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the symbol of \( \Lambda \). If \( \eta_1 = 0, \ eta_2 > 0 \), we define \( V_\epsilon = \{(m\lambda, \lambda) : \lambda \geq 0, m \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]\} \) and proceed as above. For \( \eta_1 = 0, \eta_2 < 0 \) the conic neighborhood
$V_\eta = \{(m \lambda, -\lambda)^T : \lambda \geq 0, m \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]\}$ will do the job. Similar arguments work in case $\eta_1 < 0$.

The proof of the second statement of the corollary uses arguments in [27, p. 259 ff.], and it is done in the same way as the proof of the last assertion of Theorem 3.1 in [29]. □

4.2. An improved reconstruction operator in 2D. The symbol of the operator $\Lambda$ has a factor of $1/x^2$ which de-emphasizes features far from the surface—when $x^2$ is large. We will now analyze the symbol of operator $\Lambda = \Delta F^* \psi F$ asymptotically as $\alpha \to 0$ (or equivalently, as $x^2 \to \infty$), in order to form an operator that reconstructs features more uniformly, independent of the depth (value of $x^2$) and distance between the source and receiver, $2\alpha$.

We want to find more explicit expressions for $\sigma$ in certain ranges of $\alpha$. For $\alpha = 0$ we get

$$\sigma(x, \xi) = -\pi \frac{|\xi|}{x^2} \psi \left(x_1 - \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} x_2, 2x_2 \frac{|\xi|}{|\xi_2|}\right).$$

It is clear from the above representations of $\ell$, $D$, and $E$ that

(4.5) $$\sigma(x, \xi) \approx -\pi \frac{|\xi|}{x^2} \psi \left(x_1 - \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} x_2, 2x_2 \frac{|\xi|}{|\xi_2|}\right) \quad \text{for } x_2 \gg \alpha$$

(ellipses with major diameter much larger than $\alpha$ look like circles). Since

(4.6) $$\sigma(x, (0, \xi_2)) = -\pi |\xi_2| \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2 + x_2^2}{x^2_2}} \psi \left(x_1, 2\sqrt{\alpha^2 + x_2^2}\right)$$

we assume $\xi_1 \neq 0$ in the sequel, that is, $q \neq 0$.

Now, we want to get an asymptotic expression for the symbol in case $\alpha \gg x_2$. This corresponds to features near the surface. Let $q > 0$. As

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \left(Q(q, \frac{\alpha}{x_2}) - \frac{\alpha}{x_2}\right) = (q^2 - 1)/(2q) =: C_q$$

we get

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} D = x_2 \sqrt{\frac{C_q^2}{q} + 1}.$$  

Further, since

$$Q(q, \lambda) \asymp \lambda \quad \text{as } \lambda \to \infty \quad \text{(asymptotically equal)}$$

we find

$$E \asymp 2\alpha \quad \text{for large } \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} (\ell - \alpha) = C_q x_2.$$  

Hence,

$$\frac{(\ell - \alpha) E}{D^2} \asymp \frac{2C_q}{C_q^2 + 1} \frac{\alpha}{x_2}$$

and

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} (\ell + \alpha) \frac{D}{E^2} = 0.$$  

Also,

$$\frac{E}{D^2} \asymp \frac{2}{C_q^2 + 1} \frac{\alpha}{x_2^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{D}{E^2} \asymp \sqrt{\frac{C_q^2}{q} + 1} \frac{x_2}{4\alpha^2}.$$
Thus, \[
x_2 |g| \left( (\ell - \alpha) \frac{E}{D} + (\ell + \alpha) \frac{D}{E} \right) + x_2 \left( \frac{E}{D^2} + \frac{D}{E^2} \right)
\]
\[
\approx x_2 |g| \left( 2 \frac{C_q^2}{C_q^2 + 1} + \frac{2}{C_q^2 + 1} \right) = 4 \alpha \frac{|\xi| |\xi|}{|\xi|^2}.
\]

The above asymptotic result is true also in case \( q < 0 \) (the roles of \( D \) and \( E \) as well as of \( \ell - \alpha \) and \( \ell + \alpha \) just interchange).

Combining all ingredients we get

\[
(4.7) \quad \sigma(x, \xi) \approx -\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{|\xi|^4}{|\xi| \alpha} \psi\left( x_1 - \alpha, 2 \alpha + x_2 \frac{|g|^2}{2|\xi|^2} \right) \quad \text{for } \alpha \gg x_2.
\]

In view of our explicit expressions for the symbol of \( \Lambda \) we propose the modified imaging operator

\[
\Lambda_{\text{mod}, \beta} = \Delta(M + \beta \Id)F_{\psi}^*F_{\psi}
\]

where \( M \) is the multiplication operator with \( x_2 \) and \( \beta \geq 0 \). The principal symbol of \( \Lambda_{\text{mod}, \beta} \) is \((x_2 + \beta)\sigma(x, \xi)\). What would be a good choice for \( \beta \)? Please note that in case of \( \alpha = 0 \) the symbol of \( \Lambda_{\text{mod}, \alpha} \) does not contain the factor \( 1/x_2 \) anymore. As a consequence, jumps in \( n \) with the same height but at different depths will be reconstructed with the same intensities. By the choice \( \beta = \alpha \) the same property holds approximately for \( \alpha > 0 \) because the factor \( x_2 + \alpha \) compensates for \( 1/x_2 \) if \( x_2 \gg \alpha \) and for \( 1/\alpha \) if \( x_2 \ll \alpha \), see (4.5) and (4.7). In the intermediate range \( x_2 \approx \alpha \), \( \Lambda_{\text{mod}, \alpha} \) acts simply as a \( 2\alpha \)-multiple of \( \Lambda \).

4.3. Numerical Illustrations. We present numerical experiments to compare different imaging operators under different scenarios. We use the reconstruction algorithm developed in [16] to compute approximations to \( \Lambda n \) and \( \Lambda_{\text{mod}, \beta} n \) from the elliptic means \( F_{\psi}n(s_i, t_j) \), \( i = 1, \ldots, N_s \), \( j = 1, \ldots, N_t \), where \( \{ s_i \} \subset [-s_{\text{max}}, s_{\text{max}}] \) and \( \{ t_j \} \subset [t_{\text{min}}, t_{\text{max}}] \), \( t_{\text{min}} > 2\alpha \), are equidistantly distributed.

The function \( n \) is given by a superposition of indicator functions of balls and a half-space:

\[
(4.8) \quad n = \chi_{B((0, 0), 2)} - \chi_{B((0, 0), 1)} + \chi_{B((3, 5), 1.5)} + \chi_{x_2 \geq 6.5},
\]

see Figure 2. The numerical values \( \psi(s_i, t_j)F_{\psi}n(s_i, t_j) \) have been calculated semi-analytically as explained in [16, Section 3] using the cutoff function defined on the bottom of p. 12 of [16].

In Figure 3 the offset is \( \alpha = 1 \). Further, \( s_{\text{max}} = 12, N_s = 300, N_t = 200, t_{\text{min}} = 4, \) and \( t_{\text{max}} = 19 \). As the singular support of \( n \) is contained in the strip \( \mathbb{R} \times [2, 6.5] \) we are in the regime \( x_2 \gg \alpha \), that is, the symbol of \( \Lambda \) is given by (4.5). Ellipses intersecting the support of \( n \) look like circles, see the solid red curves in Figure 2. In the top image of Figure 3, which shows \( \Lambda n \), we clearly see that the intensities of the reconstructed jumps decrease with increasing \( x_2 \). The middle image presents \( \Lambda_{\text{mod}, 0} n \). Here, the dependence on \( x_2 \) is not as strong as for \( \Lambda n \) but now singularities closer to the surface are reconstructed with slightly weaker intensities. A depth-independent reconstruction yields \( \Lambda_{\text{mod}, \alpha} \), see bottom image.

In the next set of experiments we have chosen \( \alpha = 10 \). Further, \( s_{\text{max}} = 15, N_s = N_t = 600, t_{\text{min}} = 20.2, \) and \( t_{\text{max}} = 35.2 \). As \( \alpha \gg x_2 \) and \( x_2 \in \text{supp} \ n \) we are in scenario (4.7), that is, \( \Lambda n \) exhibits a moderate depth-dependence in the reconstruction.
Figure 2. Visualization of the function $n$ (4.8). Light gray area: $n = 1$, black: $n = 2$, white: $n = 0$. The light gray bar represents the half space $x_2 \geq 6.5$. The three dashed curves show elliptic arcs belonging to the common offset $\alpha = 10$ and $s = 0$, $t = 21$; $s = 12$, $t = 24$; $s = 12$, $t = 26$. The three solid red lines show elliptic arcs for $\alpha = 1$ where $s = 0$, $t = 6$; $s = 2$, $t = 8$; $s = 12$, $t = 20$.

of the singular support of $n$ (top of Figure 4). Now, $\Lambda_{\text{mod,0}}n$ (middle of Figure 4) shows depth-dependence: jumps farther down are emphasized more with increasing $x_2$. By and large, only $\Lambda_{\text{mod,}\alpha}$ exhibits depth-independence (bottom of Figure 4).

5. Proofs

5.1. The Basic Geometry, Proofs of Lemmas 3.4 and 3.6. First we prove Lemma 3.4. We explicitly solve (3.4) for $s = (s_1, s_2)$ and $\omega$. Let $x \in X$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$. Again we may assume $\xi_3 \neq 0$ (compare the explanation at the beginning of Section 4.1). Then, we have to solve the nonlinear system of equations

\begin{align*}
\omega(x_1 - s_1)\left(\frac{1}{D} + \frac{1}{E}\right) &= \xi_1, \\
\omega\left(\frac{x_2 - s_2 - \alpha}{D} + \frac{x_2 - s_2 + \alpha}{E}\right) &= \xi_2, \\
\omega x_3\left(\frac{1}{D} + \frac{1}{E}\right) &= \xi_3,
\end{align*}

where

$$D = \sqrt{(\ell - \alpha)^2 + \beta^2} \quad \text{and} \quad E = \sqrt{(\ell + \alpha)^2 + \beta^2},$$

with $\ell := x_2 - s_2$ and $\beta^2 := (x_1 - s_1)^2 + x_3^2 > 0$.

Equation (5.3) yields that

$$\omega = \frac{\xi_3}{x_3\left(\frac{1}{D} + \frac{1}{E}\right)}.$$
Figure 3. Reconstructions for offset $\alpha = 1$. Top: $\Lambda n$, middle: $\Lambda_{\text{mod}, 0}n$, bottom: $\Lambda_{\text{mod}, \alpha}n$.
\[ \alpha = 10.00, \gamma = 0.25, s_{\min} = -15.00, t_{\max} = 35.20 \]

**Figure 4.** Reconstructions for offset \( \alpha = 10.00 \). Top: \( \Lambda n \), middle: \( \Lambda_{\text{mod},0;n} \), bottom: \( \Lambda_{\text{mod},\alpha;n} \)
We plug this expression for \( \omega \) into the first two equations. From (5.1) we then immediately obtain that

(5.5) \[ s_1 = x_1 - \frac{\xi_1 x_3}{\xi_3}. \]

With \( s_1 \) given, so is \( \beta^2 \). Equation (5.2) – using (5.4) – now reads

(5.6) \[ g(\ell) = \frac{\xi_2 x_3}{\xi_3} \]

where the function \( g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \),

(5.7) \[ g(\ell) := \frac{\sqrt{(\ell + \alpha)^2 + \beta^2 (\ell - \alpha)} + \sqrt{(\ell - \alpha)^2 + \beta^2 (\ell + \alpha)}}{\sqrt{(\ell - \alpha)^2 + \beta^2} + \sqrt{(\ell + \alpha)^2 + \beta^2}}, \]

is invertible. Indeed,

(5.8) \[ g^{-1}(\delta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\delta^2 - \beta^2 + \sqrt{(\delta^2 + \beta^2)^2 + 4\alpha^2\delta^2}}{2\delta} : \delta \neq 0, \\ 0 : \delta = 0. \end{cases} \]

The proof is below. Thus, \( s_2 = x_2 - \ell \) is explicitly given by

(5.9) \[ s_2 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\xi_3}{\xi_2} \left( \frac{\xi_2^2 - \xi_1^2}{\xi_3} - 1 \right) + \sqrt{\frac{\xi_3^2}{\xi_2^2} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2}{\xi_3^2} \right)^2 + 4\alpha^2 \frac{\xi_2^2}{\xi_3^2}} ) : \xi_2 \neq 0, \\ x_2 : \xi_2 = 0. \end{cases} \]

The representation of \( \omega \) in (5.4) still depends on \( (s_1, s_2) \) via \( D, E \) and \( \ell \). With the above values for \( (s_1, s_2) \) we can express \( \omega \) exclusively by \( x \) and \( \xi \).

It remains to prove (5.8). First, we show that \( g \) is injective. We have that

(5.10) \[ g'(\ell) = \frac{2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \ell^2)(\ell - \alpha^2 + \sqrt{(\ell - \alpha)^2 + \beta^2} + \sqrt{(\ell + \alpha)^2 + \beta^2})}{\sqrt{(\ell - \alpha)^2 + \beta^2} \sqrt{(\ell + \alpha)^2 + \beta^2} \sqrt{(\ell - \alpha)^2 + \beta^2} + \sqrt{(\ell + \alpha)^2 + \beta^2}}. \]

It holds that

(5.11) \[ g'(\ell) > 0 \iff \ell^2 - \alpha^2 + \sqrt{(\ell - \alpha)^2 + \beta^2} \sqrt{(\ell + \alpha)^2 + \beta^2} + \beta^2 > 0. \]

Let us consider the expression

(5.12) \[ \ell^2 - \alpha^2 + \sqrt{(\ell - \alpha)^2 + \beta^2} \sqrt{(\ell + \alpha)^2 + \beta^2} = \ell^2 - \alpha^2 + |\ell^2 - \alpha^2| \geq 0. \]

Thus,

(5.13) \[ \ell^2 - \alpha^2 + \sqrt{(\ell - \alpha)^2 + \beta^2} \sqrt{(\ell + \alpha)^2 + \beta^2} + \beta^2 > \ell^2 - \alpha^2 + \sqrt{(\ell - \alpha)^2 + \beta^2} + \beta^2 > 0, \]

which settles the argument for \( g'(\ell) > 0 \).

Since \( g(0) = 0 \) and \( g \) is one-to-one, the case \( \delta = 0 \) is settled. So, let \( \delta \neq 0. \)
First we reformulate \( g \) by expanding the fraction by \( \sqrt{\mp} \) where we use the abbreviations \( \sqrt{\pm} := \sqrt{(\ell \pm \alpha)^2 + \beta^2} \):

\[
\begin{align*}
g(\ell) &= \ell \frac{\sqrt{\ell + \sqrt{\mp}}^2 - \alpha(\sqrt{\ell^2 + \beta^2})}{(\sqrt{\ell + \sqrt{\mp}})^2} = \ell - \alpha \frac{\sqrt{\pm} - \sqrt{-\pm}}{(\sqrt{\ell + \sqrt{\mp}})^2} \\
&= \ell \left( 1 - \frac{4 \alpha^2}{(\sqrt{\ell^2 + \beta^2})^2} \right) = \ell \left( 1 - \frac{2 \alpha^2}{\ell^2 + \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \sqrt{\pm}} \right) \\
&= \ell \frac{\ell^2 - \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \sqrt{\pm}}{\ell^2 + \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \sqrt{\pm}}.
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, \( g(\ell) = \delta \) if and only if

\[
\ell(\ell^2 - \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \sqrt{\pm}) = \delta(\ell^2 + \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \sqrt{\pm}).
\]
The latter equation is equivalent to

\[
\ell(\ell^2 - \alpha^2 + \beta^2) - \delta(\ell^2 + \alpha^2 + \beta^2) = (\delta - \ell)\sqrt{\pm}.
\]

Squaring both sides (caution: now we introduce multiple solutions) and doing a little algebra yield

\[
-4 \alpha^2 \ell (\delta \ell^2 + (\beta^2 - \delta^2) \ell - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \delta) = 0.
\]

As \( \alpha \neq 0 \) and \( \ell \neq 0 \) (since \( \delta \neq 0 \)) above equation has the two solutions for \( \ell \)

\[
\frac{\delta^2 - \beta^2 \pm \sqrt{(\delta^2 - \beta^2)^2 + 4 \delta^2 (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)}}{2 \delta} = \frac{\delta^2 - \beta^2 \pm \sqrt{(\delta^2 + \beta^2)^2 + 4 \delta^2 \alpha^2}}{2 \delta}.
\]

From the asymptotics

\[
\lim_{\ell \to -\infty} \frac{g(\ell)}{\ell} = 1 \quad \text{as well as} \quad \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \frac{g(\ell)}{\ell} = 1
\]
we infer that the only solution of \( g(\ell) = \delta \neq 0 \) is

\[
\ell = \frac{\delta^2 - \beta^2 + \sqrt{(\delta^2 + \beta^2)^2 + 4 \delta^2 \alpha^2}}{2 \delta}
\]
which is (5.8). Hence Lemma 3.4 is validated.

The proof of Lemma 3.6 is essentially the same but simpler. With \( \ell := x_1 - s \) let

\[
D := \sqrt{(\ell - \alpha)^2 + x_2^2} \quad \text{and} \quad E := \sqrt{(\ell + \alpha)^2 + x_2^2}.
\]

Now, the two components of (3.8) read as

\[
\xi_1 = \omega\left(\frac{\ell - \alpha}{D} + \frac{\ell + \alpha}{E}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_2 = \omega x_2\left(\frac{1}{D} + \frac{1}{E}\right).
\]
The latter equation yields that

\[
(5.10) \quad \omega = \frac{\xi_2}{x_2\left(\frac{1}{D} + \frac{1}{E}\right)}
\]
and from the former we then immediately obtain that

\[
g(\ell) = q x_2, \quad q := \xi_1/\xi_2,
\]
where \( g \) is as in (5.7) with \( \beta \) replaced by \( x_2 \). Thus, \( s = x_1 - g^{-1}(qx_2) \) is explicitly given by

\[
(5.11) \quad s = \begin{cases} 
  x_1 - \frac{1}{2q} \left( (q^2 - 1)x_2 + \sqrt{(q^2 + 1)x_2^2 + 4\alpha^2q^2} \right) & : \xi_1 \neq 0, \\
  x_1 & : \xi_1 = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Now, we can express \( \omega \) exclusively by \( x \) and \( \xi \) which yields Lemma 3.6.

5.2. The symbol calculation and proof of Theorem 3.5. Since the symbol of \( KF_3^* \) is the symbol of the \( \Psi DO \) \( K \) multiplied by the symbol of \( F_3^* \) \( \Psi \) (and similarly for \( KF_3^* \)), we will calculate the symbols of \( F_3^* \) \( \Psi \) \( \) and of \( F_3^* \) \( \Psi \).

Our method to calculate symbols is versatile, and it can be used for nonconstant sound speed in some cases and for arbitrary weights and a large range of other Radon transforms. We will sketch the important steps in the proof, referring to the original references for details. We follow the general calculation in [28] and refer to [22, 41] for details about FIOs (see also [24] for an overview).

We use the definition of Radon transform in [18, 19], and to do this, we put our transform in the framework of the double fibration. This framework was used by Helgason [20, 21] to define Radon transforms in a group setting, and it was generalized to manifolds without a group structure [14, 15] (see also, [19, p. 340-341, 370] [28, Sect. 1]). The double fibration defines sets of integration for the Radon transform in broad generality. Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be manifolds and let \( Z \) be a submanifold of \( Y \times X \). We assume that the natural projections

\[
(5.12) \quad \pi_Y \leftarrow Z \quad \quad \quad \pi_X \quad Y \quad \quad \quad X
\]

are both fiber maps. In this case, we call (5.12) a double fibration. For \( y \in Y \), the Radon transform integrates over the subset of \( X \),

\[
E(y) = \pi_X (\pi_Y^{-1}\{y\}) = \{ x \in X \mid (y, x) \in Z \}. \]

Given smooth, positive measures \( \mu \) on \( Z \), \( m_X \) on \( X \), and \( m_Y \) on \( Y \), the measure for the integral transform on \( E(y) \) is the quotient measure \( \mu/m_Y \) (and \( \mu/m_X \) for the dual transform). Since the maps \( \pi_X \) and \( \pi_Y \) are fiber maps, these quotient measure can be defined using local coordinates (see e.g., [28, p. 333]).

It is often assumed that \( \pi_X \) is a proper map (see e.g., [28, p. 333]). This would mean that the forward operator maps \( E^0 \) to \( E^0 \) and so the normal operator is defined without cutoff. However, \( F \) does not satisfy this, and we need to include the cutoff \( \psi \) in order to compose \( F_3^* \) and \( \psi F_3 \) without this assumption on \( \pi_X \).

Recall that the \( L^2 \) adjoint of \( F_3 \) is \( F_3^* \) given in (3.2) with the same weight as \( F_3 \):

\[
(5.13) \quad F_3^* g(x) = \int_Y A_3(s, x) g(s, t) \delta(t - \varphi(s, x)) ds dt = \int_{S_0} A_3(s, x) g(s, \varphi(s, x)) ds.
\]

For our ellipsoidal transform, we let

\[
(5.14) \quad Z = \{(s, t, x) \mid t - \varphi(s, x) = 0\},
\]

and note that the ellipsoid is given by

\[
(5.15) \quad E(s_0, t_0) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 \mid (s_0, t_0, x) \in Z \}.
\]

We define a smooth positive measure on \( Z \)

\[
(5.16) \quad \mu = A_3(s, x) \mu_0 \quad \text{where} \quad \mu_0 = \delta(t - \varphi(s, x)) ds dt dx.
\]
For $f \in \mathcal{D}(Y \times X)$, \[
\int_Z f \mu = \int_{Y \times \mathbb{R}_+^3} f(s,t,x)A_\lambda(s,x)\delta(t - \varphi(s,x))ds \, dt \, dx.
\]

We choose smooth positive measures $m_X = dx$ on $X$ and $m_Y = ds \, dt$ on $Y$. Then, $F_3$ and $F^*_3$ are the standard generalized Radon transforms defined on $X$ and $Y$ from these measures, e.g., the measure for $F_3$ is $\mu/(ds \, dt)$ and the measure for $F^*_3$ is $\mu/dx$ (see (3.2)) and note that the weight for $F^*_3$ is the same as for $F_3$.

The Schwartz kernel of our Radon transform $F_3$ is integration over $Z$ in smooth measure $\mu$ (see, e.g., [28, Proposition 1.1]). Note that the Schwartz kernel of $F^*_3$ is integration over $Z$ in measure $\mu$ and the Schwartz kernel of $F^*_3$ is integration with respect to the measure $W(s,t,x)\mu_0$.

Let $C$ be the canonical relation of $F_3$, then
\[
(5.17) \quad C = \{(s, \varphi(s,x), \omega \partial_x \varphi - \omega dt, x, \omega \partial_x \varphi) \mid s \in S_0, x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \omega \neq 0\}
\]

where $\partial_t \varphi = \nabla_x \varphi \, dx$ is the partial differential in $x$, etc. Let $\Pi_Y : C \to T^*(Y) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\Pi_X : C \to T^*(X) \setminus \{0\}$ be the natural projections. In [10], Felea et al. proved that the microlocal Bolker condition holds:
\[
(5.18) \quad \Pi_Y : C \to T^*(Y) \setminus \{0\} \text{ is an injective immersion.}
\]

To use the calculations in [28], we introduce the new variable $w = t - \varphi(s,x)$, and note that $\delta(w)$ corresponds to the Dirac delta in the definition of $\mu_0$. We let $\eta$ be the differential $dw$ and let $d\eta$ be the one form dual to $\eta$. So $d\eta(d\eta^{-1}) = 1$.

Here we are viewing any measure on an $n$-dimensional manifold $M$ as the absolute value of an associated alternating $n$-form in its cotangent space that evaluates on the $n$-fold wedge product $\wedge^nT(M)$. So, if $x \in M$ and $v_1^*, \ldots, v_n^*$ are covectors in $T_x^*(M)$ and $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ are vectors in $T_x(M)$ then the measure $|v_1^* \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n^*|$ evaluated at $(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ is
\[
(5.19) \quad |v_1^* \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n^*|(u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_n) = |\det (v_i^*(u_j))_{i=1,\ldots,n,j=1,\ldots,n}|.
\]

as defined in [42, p. 59].

By applying the arguments below (14) in [28], the symbol of $F_3$ as an FIO is
\[
\frac{(2\pi)^{(3-1)/2}A_\lambda}{\Pi_X(|\sigma_X|^{3/2})} \, dx
\]
evaluated on $C$ and the symbol of $F^*_3 \psi$ as an FIO is
\[
\frac{(2\pi)^{(3-1)/2}A_\lambda}{\Pi_Y(|\sigma_Y|^{3/2})} \, ds \, dt
\]
evaluated on $C^t$.

Since $F_3$ and $C$ satisfy the microlocal Bolker condition, we can use Theorem 2.1 and equation (15) in [28] to see that
\[
(5.20) \quad \sigma(F^*_3 \psi)(x, \xi) = \frac{(2\pi)^{-1}\psi(s,t)\mu^2 \, dw \, d\eta}{m_X m_Y} \frac{A_\lambda}{\Pi_X(|\sigma_X|^{3/2})} \frac{1}{\Pi_Y(|\sigma_Y|^{3/2})}
\]

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$, $\sigma_X$ is the symplectic two-form [22], $|\sigma_X|^3$ is the standard measure on $T^*(X)$, $\sigma_Y$ is the symplectic two-form and $|\sigma_Y|^3$ is the standard measure.
on $T^*(Y)$ [22, p. 168]. One evaluates the symbol at all points
\[(x', \xi') \in \mathcal{C} \times (T^*(X) \times \Delta_Y \times T^*(X))\]
where $\Delta_Y$ denotes the diagonal in $T^*(Y)$. By the Bolker condition, $(x', \xi')$ is identified with the inverse image of $(x, \xi)$ under $\Pi_X : \mathcal{C} \to T^*(X)$. Using Lemma 3.4 and the expression (5.17), one sees that $\Pi_X$ is injective. Therefore, this inverse image is the single point given by the projection $\Pi_X(\lambda) = (x, \xi)$ where $\xi = \omega \nabla_{x, \omega}^\varphi$ by (5.17).

Using the definition of the measures $m_X$, $m_Y$ and $\mu$, the symbol simplifies to
\[
\sigma(F_3^* \psi F_3)(x, \xi) = \frac{(2\pi)^2 A_1^3(s, x) \psi(s, x) \, dx \, ds \, d\eta}{\Pi_X^\omega \left( |\sigma_X|^{3/2} \right) \Pi_Y^\omega \left( |\sigma_Y|^{3/2} \right)}
\]
evaluated at this preimage $\lambda = \Pi_X^{-1}(x, \xi)$ in $\mathcal{C}$.

The following lemma finishes the proof for $F_3^* \psi F_3$.

**Lemma 5.1.** We have that
\[
\frac{dx \, ds \, d\eta}{\Pi_X^\omega \left( |\sigma_X|^{3/2} \right) \Pi_Y^\omega \left( |\sigma_Y|^{3/2} \right)} = \frac{1}{|\omega|^2 B_3(s, x)}
\]
evaluated at $\Pi_X^{-1}(x, \xi)$ and where $B_3$ is given by (3.6) and $s = s(x, \xi)$ is given by (5.5), and (5.9).

**Proof.** The lemma is proved by first calculating a basis of $T(\mathcal{C})$ using the coordinates $(s, x, \omega)$. This gives a basis $\mathcal{B}$ of the wedge product $\wedge^0 T(\mathcal{C})$. One evaluates the measure $dx \, ds \, d\eta$ on $\mathcal{B}$ using (5.19). One then evaluates $\Pi_X^\omega \left( |\sigma_X|^{3/2} \right)$ by evaluating $|\sigma_X|^{3/2}$ on the push forward $\Pi_{X*}(\mathcal{B})$ and one evaluates $\Pi_Y^\omega \left( |\sigma_Y|^{3/2} \right)$ in a similar way. By comparing the results, one shows (5.22).

The proof for $F_3^* \psi F_3$ is similar but one uses the measure $W\mu_0$ on $Z$ (where $\mu_0$ is given by (5.16)) to define $F_3^*$ as a Radon transform.

The proof of the theorem for $\mathbb{R}^2$ is essentially the same except that $s \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is replaced by the single coordinate $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and the coordinates we use on $\mathcal{C}$ are $(s, x, \omega)$.

**References**


**Department of Mathematics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany**

*E-mail address:* christine.grathwohl@kit.edu

*E-mail address:* peer.kunstmann@kit.edu

*E-mail address:* andreas.rieder@kit.edu

**Department of Mathematics, Tufts University, Medford, MA 02155, USA**

*E-mail address:* todd.quinto@tufts.edu